

出版伦理声明

《圣经文学研究》旨在为学术界贡献高品质的研究成果，刊物的编辑、评审人、作者三方均应遵循相应的伦理规范，以助力这一目标的实现。本刊参照出版伦理委员会（COPE）的指南（<http://www.publicationethics.org/>），并结合本刊实际情况制定以下准则。

编辑的责任

◎ 所有编辑、参与刊物工作的成员，及其职责分工，均在本刊纸质版和网络版中列出，以增强刊物编辑过程的透明性。

◎ 编辑委员会的编辑根据同行评审专家的意见，公平决定文章是否应当刊出。在此过程中，当以论文质量和刊物自身的学术定位为首要考虑，避免受其他因素干扰。

◎ 编辑应在相关领域选择恰当的评审专家，努力保证评审的公平、评审过程的合规和进展过程的顺利。

◎ 编辑应与评审专家一道，检查并防止发生学术不端行为。

◎ 编辑及编辑部所有成员不得将来稿及作者和评审专家

的相关信息泄露给非必要人员。编辑部所有成员亦不得在自己的研究中使用来稿中未经发表的内容。

◎ 一旦确认学术不端行为，编辑应当与出版方配合，发表声明，进行澄清、更正、道歉，或者撤回稿件。

评审者的责任

◎ 同行评审专家一旦确认自己适任，就应以专业的眼光对来稿进行客观公正的评审，为编辑提供用稿建议，为作者提供修改、提高的建议。

◎ 评审人如果在来稿中发现潜在的学术不端行为，应当提示编辑注意。评审人应指出未加引用的相关文献。

◎ 如果恰知被评审人信息，或存在某种利益关系，评审人应当主动回避。

◎ 未经编辑同意，评审人不得与他人分享或讨论所评文章。评审人亦不得在自己的研究中使用来稿尚未发表的内容，或者以某种方式谋取利益。

作者的责任

◎ 作者应保证论文的原创性和引文的真实准确性，不可剽窃他人成果，不可伪造或局部伪造所引用的文献。

◎ 作者不可一稿多投，不可在不同刊物上重复发表同一篇论文，包括内容相同的翻译版本。

◎ 署名作者应当是对论文切实做出实质性贡献的人。

◎ 论文应清楚列明所受资金的支持。

◎ 若作者转载任何受版权保护之材料，必须自行向版权拥有者申请授权。

◎ 论文出版后，若发现存在重大错误，作者有义务配合编辑部对相关内容进行订正或者撤回论文。

Publication Ethics and Malpractice Statement

Journal for the Study of Biblical Literature (JSBL) aims to contribute high quality research to the academic world. To achieve this goal, it is important that journal editors, peer reviewers and authors agree upon and abide by standards of ethical behaviour. This statement is based on COPE guidelines (<http://www.publicationethics.org/>), adjusted for JSBL needs and circumstances.

Editors' Responsibilities

◎ All editorial staff and their responsibilities are listed in both print and online versions of the journal to ensure the transparency of the journal's editing process.

◎ Editors in editorial committee make fair publication decisions based on the reports of peer reviewers, the quality of the submission, and the scope and rationale of the Journal, without regard to other factors.

◎ The editor shall select suitable reviewers in the relevant fields and strive to ensure the fairness of the review,

the compliance of the peer review process and the smooth progress of the review.

◎ Editors should work with reviewers to check submissions and prevent research misconduct.

◎ All editors and editorial staff must protect the confidentiality of the manuscript and the data of author and reviewers. They shall not use unpublished materials of manuscripts in their own research.

◎ If any academic misconduct is found, the editor shall cooperate with the publisher, issue a statement and clarify, make corrections, apologize, or withdraw the manuscript.

Reviewers' Responsibilities

◎ Once a peer reviewer has confirmed his/her suitability, he/she should conduct an objective, fair, and professional review; assist the editor in making editorial decisions; and offer advice to help the author to revise and improve the paper.

◎ The reviewer shall alert the editor if any potential academic misconduct is found in the submitted manuscript. Reviewers should also point out relevant published work not cited.

◎ A reviewer should proactively withdraw if he/she happens to discover the author's identity, or if any conflict of interest is present.

◎ Reviewers shall not share or discuss the manuscript with other people without the editor's consent, and shall not use unpublished materials of the manuscript in their own research.

Authors' Duties

◎ Authors shall ensure the originality of the paper and the authenticity and accuracy of quotations. Authors shall not plagiarize others' work, forge or partially forge cited materials.

◎ Authors shall not submit the same paper to more than one journal concurrently, or publish the same paper repeatedly in different journal, including the translated version of the paper.

◎ The named author should be the person who has made the most significant contribution to the paper.

◎ Authors should clearly state any financial support received.

◎ Authors are responsible for obtaining permission to reproduce any copyrighted material from other sources.

◎ After a paper is published, an author is obliged to cooperate with the editor to correct or retract the paper if significant error is discovered.